## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION - CINCINNATI

TIMOTHY MILLER, Case No. 1:22-cv-468

**3** 

Plaintiff, : Judge Matthew W. McFarland

v.

.

APPLE INC., et al.,

:

Defendants.

1

## ENTRY AND ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION (Docs. 4, 9)

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Karen L. Litkovitz (Doc. 4) and the accompanying Supplemental Report and Recommendation (Doc. 9) of Magistrate Judge Stephanie K. Bowman, to whom this case is referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Noting that no objections have been filed and the time for filing such objections under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) has expired, the Court hereby ADOPTS said Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, this action is DISMISSED with prejudice, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), because it fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Furthermore, pursuant to U.S.C. § 1915(a), it is hereby certified that any appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith and that Plaintiff should be denied leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

1 1 1 1 1 1

JUDGE MATTHEW W. McFARLAND